arXiv:1411.0178v1 [math.GR] 1 Nov 2014

On Regular CAT(0) Cube Complexes

and the simplicity of automorphism groups of rank-one CAT(0) cube complexes

Nir Lazarovich*

Abstract

We provide a necessary and sufficient condition on a finite flag simplicial complex, L, for which there exists a unique CAT(0) cube complex whose vertex links are all isomorphic to L. We then find new examples of such CAT(0) cube complexes and prove that their automorphism groups are virtually simple. The latter uses a result, which we prove in the appendix, about the simplicity of certain subgroups of the automorphism group of a rank-one CAT(0) cube complex. This result generalizes previous results by Tits [17] and by Haglund and Paulin [12].

1 Introduction

Over the past years CAT(0) cube complexes have played a major role in geometric group theory and have provided many examples of interesting group actions on CAT(0) spaces. In the search for highly symmetric CAT(0) cube complexes – just as for their 1-dimensional analogues, trees – it is natural to consider the sub-class of *regular* CAT(0) cube complexes, i.e., cube complexes with the same link at each vertex.

More precisely, recall that a CAT(0) cube complex is a 1-connected cube complex whose vertex links are flag simplicial complexes (see [9]). Let L be a fixed finite flag simplicial complex. An *L-cube-complex* is a cube complex whose vertex links are all isomorphic to L. For all L, the Davis complex $D(L)$ of the right-angled Coxeter group W_L associated to L is an example of a CAT(0) L-cube-complex (see Subsection 2.3 for more details).

A crucial difference between general CAT(0) L-cube-complexes and their 1-dimensional analogues – regular trees – is that they are not necessarily unique. This naturally raises the question of determining whether or not there is a unique CAT(0) L-cube-complex. This question can also be viewed as the cube-complex analogue of a similar question for polygonal complexes that appeared in the survey paper of Farb, Hruska and Thomas (see [6]). The analogue question

*Supported by The Adams Fellowship Program of the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities.

for polygonal complexes has been studied in various works, showing uniqueness for certain links on the one hand, as in [3, 7, 11, 14, 16, 18] but also finding links for which there is a continuum of non-isomorphic complexes on the other, as in [1, 10].

A unique CAT(0) L-cube-complex is known to exist for some links L, including:

- Any collection of isolated vertices – i.e., the link of a regular tree.
- The simplex Δ^d for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$ – i.e., the link of the cube complex consisting of one $(d+1)$-dimensional cube.
- The cycle graph C_n for $n \geq 4$ – i.e., the link of a regular square tiling of the Euclidean/hyperbolic plane.
- The complete bipartite graph $K_{n,m}$ – i.e., the link of a product of two regular trees (see [18])
- Any trivalent, 3-arc-transitive graph (see [16])

In fact, the question of uniqueness for CAT(0) L-square-complexes (and other polygonal complexes) – i.e, when L is a graph – was answered in a previous paper by the author (see [14]). Moreover, a fuller characterization of the graph condition given there together with more examples of such graphs can be found in [7].

In this paper we show that the following combinatorial condition on L is necessary and sufficient for uniqueness of CAT(0) L-cube-complex.

Definition 1.1. The simplicial complex L is *superstar-transitivity* if for any two simplices σ, σ' and any isomorphism $\phi : \mathrm{st_L}(\sigma) \to \mathrm{st_L}(\sigma')$, sending σ to σ', there exists an automorphism $\Phi : L \to L$ such that $\Phi|_{\mathrm{st_L}(\sigma)} = \phi$.

The main theorem is thus the following.

Theorem 1.2 (Uniqueness of CAT(0) L-cube-complexes)**.** *Let L be a finite flag simplicial complex. The associated Davis complex $D(L)$ is the unique CAT(0) L-cube-complex if and only if L is superstar-transitive.*

Except for the above exmples, we provide in Subsection 5.1.1 a new family of examples of unique CAT(0) L-cube-complexes of arbitrary dimension, namely the Kneser Complexes K_n^d.

As in the case of regular trees, one might expect that these unique CAT(0) L-cube-complexes exhibit rich automorphism group actions. For instance, we prove that one can extend any automorphism of a hyperplane to an automorphism of the whole complex.

In fact we show that the following stronger property holds for any collection of pairwise transverse hyperplanes in a unique CAT(0) L-cube-complex.

Definition 1.3. Let X be a CAT(0) cube complex. A set of pairwise transverse hyperplanes $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_1, \ldots, \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_d$ satisfy the *hyperplane automorphism extension property* (HAEP) if for all $\hat{f} \in \mathrm{Aut}\left(\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_\mathrm{d}\right)$ there exists an automorphism $f \in \mathrm{AutX}$ such that f stabilizes $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_d$ and $f|_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_d} = \hat{f}$.

We then use it to show that certain unique CAT(0) L-cube-complexes have a virtually simple automorphism group. Note that the latter generalizes the virtual simplicity of the automorphism group of a regular tree proved in [17]. Also note that this is not true in general, for instance, the automorphism groups of certain unique regular CAT(0) cube complexes are discrete and thus virtually Coxeter groups, which virtually map onto free groups.

The outline of the paper is as follows:

In section 2 we set the ground for the proof of the main theorem: we define the superstar-transitivity conditions; we introduce an inductive method on the vertices of a CAT(0) cube complex; and recall the definition of the Davis complexes for right-angled Coxeter groups.

In section 3 we prove the main theorem.

In section 4 we prove that the *hyperplane automorphism extension property* (HAEP) holds for the unique CAT(0) L-cube-complexes.

In section 5 we show how the HAEP can be used to prove that certain automorphism groups are virtually simple. We then give some examples of unique CAT(0) L-cube-complexes which have a virtually simple automorphism group.

In the appendix we use the rank rigidity theorem (see [5]) for cube complexes to generalize previous results by Tits ([17]) and by Haglund and Paulin ([12]) about the simplicity of the subgroup of the automorphism group of a CAT(0) cube complex generated by all halfspace fixators. This theorem is key in the virtual simplicity proved in section 5. A similar result is proved by Caprace in [4] for the type-preserving automorphism groups of right-angled buildings.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace for carefully reading the manuscript and for providing useful and insightful comments. His main suggestions provide a complete analysis of the other cases in Theorem 5.7, which unfortunately were not included in the final version of this manuscript. The author would also like to thank his advisor, Michah Sageev, for his never-ending encouragement and helpful advice, and Frédéric Haglund for some helpful conversations and exchange of ideas. The author sincerely appreciates and acknowledges the support he received by the Adams Fellowship Program of the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Superstar-transitivity and basic definitions

Let X be a cube complex, and let L be a finite flag simplicial complex. Recall the following definitions:

- For a vertex $x \in X^{(0)}$, *the link of x in X*, $\mathrm{Lk(x,X)}$, is the simplicial complex whose vertices are the edges incident to x and whose simplices are the collections of edges which span cubes in X.
- For $L' \subset L$, *the open star of L' in L*, $\mathrm{st_L(L')}$, is the union of all open simplices of L whose closure intersects that of L'.
- Let e be the directed edge in X which connects x to y, and let ξ and ζ be the vertices corresponding to e in $\mathrm{Lk(x,X)}$ and $\mathrm{Lk(y,X)}$ respectively. *The transfer map along $e \in X^{(1)}$* is the isomorphism $\tau_e : \mathrm{st_{Lk(x,X)}}(\xi) \to \mathrm{st_{Lk(y,X)}}(\zeta)$ which sends a simplex incident to ξ in $\mathrm{Lk(x,X)}$ to the simplex which represent the same cube in $\mathrm{Lk(y,X)}$.

Also recall that CAT(0) cube complexes naturally carry a combinatorial structure, coming from the construction of hyperplanes and half-spaces. For the definition and more details see [15].

Definition 2.1. For $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, the complex L is said to be $\mathrm{st}(\Delta^{\mathrm{k}})$-*transitive* if for any pair of (not necessarily distinct) k-simplices σ, σ' of L and any isomorphism $\phi : \mathrm{st}(\sigma) \to \mathrm{st}(\sigma')$ there exists an automorphism Φ of L such that $\Phi|_{\mathrm{st}(\sigma)} = \phi$.

Note that L is superstar-transitive if and only if it is $\mathrm{st}(\Delta^{\mathrm{k}})$-transitive for all $k \geq 0$.

Remark. Note that, unlike graphs, a simplicial flag complex L can be superstar-transitive because there are no non-trivial isomorphisms between stars of vertices in L.

2.2 Induction on the vertices of a CAT(0) cube complex

In this subsection we describe the properties of a certain enumeration of the vertices of X.

Definition 2.2. Let J be a (typically infinite) segment in $\mathbb{Z}$ containing 0. An enumeration $\{x_n\}_{n \in J}$, of the vertices of a proper CAT(0) cube complex X will be called *admissible* if the following hold for all $n > 0$:

1. There exist adjacent vertices x_m of x_n with $m < n$.
2. The adjacent vertices x_m of x_n with $m < n$ are contained in one cube.
3. For all $i < n$ such that x_i and x_n share a cube, C, there exists $m < n$ such that x_m is adjacent to x_n and $x_m \in C$.

For a cube C in X and an admissible enumeration $\{x_n\}_{n \in J}$ we denote by $C_{\leq n} = \{x_i \,|\, i \leq n,\, x_i \in C\}$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let X be a proper CAT(0) cube complex, and let x_0 be a vertex of X. Let $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be an enumeration of $X^{(0)}$ such that $d(x_n, x_0)$ is non-decreasing (where d is length metric of $X^{(1)}$). Then $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is admissible.*

Proof. Let $n > 0$. Property (1) is clear from the definition. To prove (2) we need to show that the hyperplanes separating x_n from its preceding adjacent vertices are pairwise transverse. Let $s, t < n$ be distinct numbers such that x_s and x_t are adjacent to x_n, and let $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_s$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_t$ be the hyperplanes separating x_n from x_s and x_t respectively.

The hyperplane $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_s$ separates x_n from x_0, for otherwise $d(x_0, x_s) > d(x_0, x_n)$. Similarly $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_t$ separates x_n from x_0. The hyperplane $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_s$ does not separate x_t from x_n, for otherwise x_t and x_n will be separated by two hyperplanes, and thus will not be adjacent. Similarly, $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_t$ does not separate x_s from x_n. Hence $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_s$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_t$ are transverse, since in each of the four possible intersections of halfspaces corresponding to $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_s$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_t$ there is one of the points x_n, x_s, x_t, x_0.

Let $x_{m_1}, \ldots, x_{m_d}$ be all the preceding adjacent vertices of x_n, and let $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{m_1}, \ldots, \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{m_d}$ be the hyperplanes which separate x_n from $x_{m_1}, \ldots, x_{m_d}$ respectively. If $i < n$ then there exists m among $m_1, \ldots, m_d$ such that $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_m$ does not separate x_i from x_m. Hence, $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_m$ separates x_i from x_n. Each hyperplane $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ which separates x_i from x_m must also separate x_i from x_n. Therefore, if x_i shares a cube, C, with x_n then it cannot be separated by two non-transverse hyperplanes from x_n. It follows that x_i cannot be separated from x_m by two non-intersecting hyperplanes, and thus $x_m \in C$. □

2.3 Right-angled Coxeter groups and their Davis complex

We recall the construction of the Davis cube complex for the right-angled Coxeter group associated to L.

We first associate to L the right-angled Coxeter group W_L given by the following presentation:

$$W_L = \left\langle \xi \in L^{(0)} | \forall \xi \in L^{(0)}, \xi^2 = 1 \text{ and } \forall \xi \sim \zeta, [\xi, \zeta] = 1 \right\rangle.$$

Where $\xi \sim \zeta$ if the vertices ξ and ζ are adjacent in L (note that L is not the Coxeter diagram for W_L).

The Davis cube complex associated to L, $D(L)$, is the complex defined by adding cubes to the Cayley graph of W_L whenever a $1-$skeleton of a cube appears (after identifying the bigons of the form ξ^2 for $\xi \in L^{(0)}$).

Remark 2.4. Observe that the complex $D(L)$ is a 1-connected L-cube-complex, and the identification of $\mathrm{Lk(x, D(L))}$ with L is canonical (the identification coming from the labeling of the edges of the Cayley graph with the generators $L^{(0)}$). With respect to this identification, the transfer maps along edges of $D(L)$ are the identity maps. Also observe that any automorphism Φ of L defines an automorphism F_Φ of $D(L)$ which fixes the vertex which correspond to $1 \in W_L$ and induces the map Φ on the link of every vertex (considered via the canonical identification with L).

Remark 2.5. Observe that the hyperplane in $D(L)$ transverse to an edge e labeled $\xi \in L^{(0)}$ (with respect to the canonical labeling discussed above) is isomorphic to $D(\mathrm{Lk}(\xi, \mathrm{L}))$. This isomorphism is given by the $W_{\mathrm{Lk}(\xi,\mathrm{L})}$-equivariant embedding $W_{\mathrm{Lk}(\xi,\mathrm{L})} \hookrightarrow \langle \xi \rangle \backslash W_L$.

3 Uniqueness of L-cube-complexes

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2.

Definition 3.1. Let $\{x_n\}_{n\in J}$ be an admissible enumeration of a CAT(0) cube complex X. Let Y be another CAT(0) cube complex. For $n \geq 0$, an n-admissible map between X and Y, is a pair $(F_n, \{F_{x_i}\}_{i\leq n})$ of a map $F_n : \{x_i\}_{i\leq n} \to Y^{(0)}$, and $F_{x_i} : \mathrm{Lk(x_i, X)} \to \mathrm{Lk(F(x_i), Y)}$ for all $i \leq n$ satisfying the following:

Condition (compatibility condition)**.** For every d-dimensional cube C in X for which $C_{\leq n} = \{x_i \in C \,|\, i \leq n\}$ is non-empty there is a combinatorial embedding $G : C \hookrightarrow Y$ such that on the set $C_{\leq n}$ the map G coincides with F_n. Moreover, if $x_i \in C_{\leq n}$ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Lk(x_i, X)}$ is the simplex which represents C, then $G_{x_i} = F_{x_i}|_\sigma$.

In other words, this condition states that the map F_n extends to cubes, and the link-maps, F_{x_i}, coincide with the link-maps of this extension.

We will prove the following useful extension lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let L be superstar-transitive. Let X, Y be CAT(0) cube complexes, let $\{x_n\}_{n\in J}$ be an admissible enumeration of the vertices of a CAT(0) cube complex X, let $n \geq 0$ and let $(F_{n-1}, \{F_{x_i}\}_{i\leq n-1})$ be an $(n-1)$-admissible map between X and Y. If the links of the vertices $x \in X^{(0)} \setminus \{x_i\}_{i\leq n-1}$ and $y \in Y^{(0)} \setminus F_{n-1}(\{x_i\}_{i\leq n-1})$ are isomorphic to L, then there exists an n-admissible map $(F_n, \{F_{x_i}\}_{i\leq n})$ between X and Y that extends $\left(F_{n-1}, \{F_{x_i}\}_{i\leq n-1}\right)$ in the obvious sense.*

As a corollary we see that there exists a map $F : X \to Y$ extending F_n.

Proof. Let us denote by $y_i = F_{n-1}(x_i)$ for all $i < n$. F_n extends F_{n-1} thus $F_n(x_i) = y_i$ for all $i < n$.

We first define $F(x_n)$ as follows. Let $m < n$ be such that x_m is adjacent to x_n. Let $\xi \in \mathrm{Lk(x_m, X)}$ be the vertex corresponding to x_n in the link of x_m. Let y_n be the adjacent vertex of y_m corresponding to $F_{x_m}(\xi) \in \mathrm{Lk(y_m, Y)}$. Define $F(x_n) := y_n$. Note that this does not depend on the choice of x_m, because all other choices are contained in a cube C which will be mapped to a cube of Y according to the compactability condition.

Next we define F_{x_n} as follows. Let $x_{m_1}, \ldots, x_{m_d}$ be all the adjacent vertices of x_n such that $m_i < n$, $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Then $x_{m_1}, \ldots, x_{m_d}$ are contained in a cube C, this cube correspond to a simplex $\sigma \subset \mathrm{Lk(x_n, X)}$. By the compatability condition their images $y_{m_1}, \ldots, y_{m_d}$ are adjacent to y_n and are contained in a cube C' corresponding to a simplex $\sigma' \subset \mathrm{Lk(y_n, Y)}$. Every simplex in $\mathrm{st}(\sigma)$ represents a cube in X which contains x_n and at least one of x_{m_j}. The maps $F_{y_{m_1}}, \ldots, F_{y_{m_d}}$ define an isomorphism $\phi : \mathrm{st}(\sigma) \to \mathrm{st}(\sigma')$ which by the compactability condition is well defined. By $\mathrm{st}(\Delta^{\mathrm{d}})$-transitivity we can extend ϕ to an isomorphism $F_{x_n} : \mathrm{Lk(x_n, X)} \to \mathrm{Lk(y_n, Y)}$ such that $F_{x_n}|_{\mathrm{st}(\sigma)} = \phi$. By definition, for all $1 \leq j \leq d$ the compatability condition is satisfied for any cube shared by x_{m_j}, x_n.

By admissibility, every cube C which contains x_n either contains some adjacent vertex x_{m_j} or $C_{\leq n} = \{x_n\}$. In either case the compatability condition holds.

The corollary follows by inductively applying the above argument. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof. We begin by proving that if L is superstar-transitive then there is a unique 1-connected L-cube-complex.

Let X, Y be two L-cube-complexes. Let $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be an enumeration of $X^{(0)}$ as in Lemma 2.3. Set $F(x_0)$ to be any vertex y_0 of Y, and set $F_{x_0} : \mathrm{Lk(x_0, X)} \to \mathrm{Lk(y_0, Y)}$ to be an isomorphism. The pair $\left(F, \{F_{x_i}\}_{0\leq i\leq 0}\right)$ is a 0-admissible map.

By applying Lemma 3.2, we obtain a map $\tilde{F} : X \to Y$ between the two cube complexes. This completes the proof of the first implication. We shall now prove that if L is not superstar-transitive for all k then there exist more than one CAT(0) L-cube-complexes.

Assume that k is the minimal non-negative integer such that L is not $\mathrm{st}(\Delta^{\mathrm{k}})$-transitive.

If $k = 0$, let $\xi, \zeta \in L^{(0)}$ and let $\phi : \mathrm{st}(\xi) \to \mathrm{st}(\zeta)$ be an isomorphism such that there is no automorphism of L extending ϕ. Let X be the following cube complex complex. Let $\mathfrak{h}_\xi$ (resp. $\mathfrak{h}_\zeta$) be a half-space in $D(L)$ defined by a hyperplane associated to ξ (resp. ζ). By Remark 2.5, the hyperplane $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_\xi$ (resp. $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_\zeta$) can be identified with $D(\mathrm{Lk}(\xi, \mathrm{L}))$ (resp. $D(\mathrm{Lk}(\zeta, \mathrm{L}))$) and thus the map ϕ defines an isomorphism $F_\phi : \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_\xi \to \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_\zeta$ by Remark 2.4. Form the space $X = \mathfrak{h}_\xi \sqcup_{F_\phi} \mathfrak{h}_\zeta$, see Figure 1. The space X is a 1-connected L-cube-complex.

To see that $X \ncong D(L)$ note that in $D(L)$ for each hyperplane $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ of $D(L)$ there is a reflection fixing this hyperplane and exchanging $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^*$, while in X the distinguished hyperplane $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_\xi = \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_\zeta$ does not satisfy this property since such a reflection would imply that the induced maps on the links extend the transfer maps, ϕ, to an isomorphism of the links - contradicting the assumption on ϕ.

If $k \geq 1$, let $\phi : \mathrm{st}(\sigma) \to \mathrm{st}(\sigma')$ be an isomorphism between the stars of the k-simplices $\sigma, \sigma' \subset L$ that cannot be extended to an automorphism of L. Let $\sigma = [\xi_0, \ldots, \xi_k]$ and let $\tilde{\sigma} = [\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k]$ be one of its codimension-1 faces. By the minimality of k, the complex L is $\mathrm{st}(\Delta^{\mathrm{k}-1})$-transitive, and therefore there exists an automorphism $\tilde{\Phi}$ of L extending $(\phi|_{\tilde{\sigma}})^{-1}$ (which, in particular, sends σ' to σ). Hence, by post-composition with $\tilde{\Phi}$, we may assume that $\sigma = \sigma'$, i.e $\phi : \mathrm{st}(\sigma) \to \mathrm{st}(\sigma)$, and moreover $\phi|_{\mathrm{st}(\tilde{\sigma})} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{st}(\tilde{\sigma})}$. We denote $\phi_i = \phi|_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_\mathrm{i})}$, which by our assumption is the identity map for all $i > 0$.

Let $\mathfrak{h}_0, \ldots, \mathfrak{h}_k$ be a collection of halfspace in $D(L)$ which correspond to $\xi_0, \ldots, \xi_k$ respectively, and which have pairwise intersecting supporting hyperplanes. Let $\Sigma = \mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \ldots \cap \mathfrak{h}_k$ be the sector they define. Each ϕ_i defines an automorphism F_i of $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_i$. These automorphisms coincide on $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_i \cap \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_j$ thus define



Figure 1: An example of the complex X for a non-st(Δ^0)-transitive link L. Let L be the 3-edge path graph. Let ξ and ζ be the same vertex, shown in the figure, and let $\phi : \mathrm{st}(\xi) \to \mathrm{st}(\zeta)$ be the non-extendible isomorphism which exchanges θ_1 and θ_2. Since $\xi = \zeta$, the hyperplanes $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_\xi$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_\zeta$ may be chosen the same, and are isomorphic to the real line (thought of as the Davis complex, $D(\mathrm{Lk}(\xi, \mathrm{L}))$, of the infinite dihedral group generated by the reflections θ_1 and θ_2). The induced map $F_\phi : \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_\xi \to \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_\zeta$ is the reflection around some vertex of $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_\xi$. The space X in the figure is obtained by gluing the halfspaces $\mathfrak{h}_\xi$ and $\mathfrak{h}_\zeta$ using F_ϕ.

an automorphism F of the boundary of this sector, i.e,

$$\partial\Sigma = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \left(\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_i \cap \left(\bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{h}_j \right) \right).$$

Let X be the space obtained by gluing Σ to $D(L) \setminus \Sigma^\circ$ along Σ with respect to F. The space X is a 1-connected L-cube-complex.

Assume for contradiction that $X \cong D(L)$. Consider the $k+1$ commuting reflections, $r_0, \ldots, r_k$, with respect to the hyperplanes $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_0, \ldots, \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_k$. Let C be a cube that intersects $\bigcap_i \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_i$ and corresponds to σ . Let C be identified with $[0,1]^{k+1}$ such that $v_0 := (0, \ldots, 0)$ is the vertex in the sector Σ, and its adjacent vertices $(1, 0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, (0, \ldots, 0, 1)$ correspond to the vertices $\xi_0, \ldots, \xi_k$ in the link of v_0.

The reflections r_i determine automorphisms $r_{i,v} : \mathrm{Lk}(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{X}) \to \mathrm{Lk}(\mathrm{r_i}(\mathrm{v}), \mathrm{X})$ for $i = 0, \ldots, k$ and $v \in \{0,1\}^{\{0,\ldots,k\}}$. These reflections have the following properties:

1. The maps $r_{i,v}$ fix σ (after identifying each link with L using the natural identification).
2. The restriction $r_{i,v}|_{st(\xi_i)} = \tau_{e_{i,v}}$ where $e_{i,v}$ as the edge connecting v and $r_i(v)$ and $\tau_{e_{i,v}}$ is the transfer map along $e_{i,v}$. Note also that by the construction all the transfer maps $\tau_{e_{i,v}}$ are the identity maps except for $\tau_{e_{0,v_0}} = \phi$ (and $\tau_{e_0, r_0(v_0)} = \phi^{-1}$)
3. For all $i, j \in \{0, \ldots, k\}, i \neq j$ and $v \in \{0,1\}^{\{0,\ldots,k\}}$

$$r_{j,r_i(v)} \circ r_{i,v} = r_{i,r_j(v)} \circ r_{j,v}$$

Let us denote $[n] := \{1, \ldots, n\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $v \mapsto \tilde{v}$ denote the embedding $\{0,1\}^{[k]} \hookrightarrow \{0\} \times \{0,1\}^{[k]} \subset \{0,1\}^{\{0\} \cup [k]}$, and let $R_v := r_{0,\tilde{v}}$ for $v \in \{0,1\}^{[k]}$.

For an injective map $\pi : [m] \to [k]$ and $v \in \{0,1\}^{[k]}$ we define the automorphism Φ^v_π of L by induction on m in the following way:

- If $m = 1$, $\Phi^v_\pi = R^{-1}_{r_{\pi(m)}v} \circ R_v$.
- If $m > 1$, $\Phi^v_\pi = \Phi^{r_{\pi(m-1)} r_{\pi(m)} v}_{\pi|_{[m-1]}} \circ \Phi^v_{\pi|_{[m-1]}}$

Consider the automorphism defined by $m = k$, $\pi = \mathrm{id_{[k]}}$, $\mathrm{v_0} = (0, \ldots, 0) \in \{0,1\}^{[\mathrm{k}]}$

$$\Phi := \Phi^{v_0}_{\mathrm{id}}$$

We complete the proof by contradicting the assumption that ϕ is not extendible, using the following claim.

Claim. The restriction $\Phi|_{\mathrm{st}(\sigma)}$ is ϕ.

Proof. After expanding Φ using the inductive definition we get

$$\Phi = R^{-1}_{v_{2^k-1}} \circ \ldots \circ R^{-1}_{v_1} \circ R_{v_0}$$

Where $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^{2^k-1} = \{0,1\}^{[k]}$ (in fact, the sequence $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^{2^k-1}$ form a Hamiltonian cycle of the 1-skeleton of the cube $[0,1]^{[k]}$). Thus, using the second property of the maps $r_{i,v}$ and the construction of X, we get

$$\Phi|_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_0)} = R^{-1}_{v_{2^k-1}}|_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_0)} \circ \ldots \circ R^{-1}_{v_1}|_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_0)} \circ R_{v_0}|_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_0)} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_0)} \circ \ldots \circ \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_0)} \circ \phi_0 = \phi_0$$

.

We are left to prove that $\Phi|_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_i)} = \phi_i = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_i)}$ for all $i \in [k]$. We do so by proving by induction on m that for all injective maps $\pi : [m] \to [k]$, for all $v \in \{0,1\}^{[k]}$ and for all $i \in \pi([m])$ we have $\Phi^v_\pi|_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_i)} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_i)}$. In particular, we get $\Phi|_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_i)} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_i)}$ for all $i \in [k]$.

For the base case, $m = 1$, let $i = \pi(1)$. Property 3 provides the following relation

$$R_{r_i(v)} \circ r_{i,\tilde{v}} = r_{0,r_i(v)} \circ r_{i,\tilde{v}} = r_{i,r_0(\tilde{v})} \circ r_{0,\tilde{v}} = r_{i,r_0(\tilde{v})} \circ R_v$$

When restricted to $\mathrm{st}(\xi_i)$ we obtain

$$R_{r_i(v)}|_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_i)} = R_{r_i(v)}|_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_i)} \circ r_{i,\tilde{v}}|_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_i)} = r_{i,r_0(\tilde{v})}|_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_i)} \circ R_v|_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_i)} = R_v|_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_i)}$$

since $r_{1,\iota^0_0(\emptyset)}|_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_1)} = r_{1,\iota^1_0(\emptyset)}|_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_1)} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_1)}$ by property 2. Thus, $\Phi^v_\pi|_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_i)} = R^{-1}_{r_i(v)}|_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_i)} \circ R_v|_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_i)} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_i)}$.

Now assume $m > 1$. We divide the proof of the inductive step into 3 cases:

Case 1. If $i \in \pi([m-1])$, then by the induction hypothesis

$$\Phi^v_\pi|_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_i)} = \Phi^{r_{\pi(m-1)} r_{\pi(m)} v}_{\pi|_{[m-1]}}|_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_i)} \circ \Phi^v_{\pi|_{[m-1]}}|_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_i)} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_i)}$$

Case 2. If $i = \pi(m)$ and $m > 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^v_\pi &= \Phi^{r_{\pi(m-1)} r_{\pi(m)} v}_{\pi|_{[m-1]}} \circ \Phi^v_{\pi|_{[m-1]}} \\ &= \left(\Phi^{r_{\pi(m-2)} r_{\pi(m)} v}_{\pi|_{[m-2]}} \circ \Phi^{r_{\pi(m-1)} r_{\pi(m)} v}_{\pi|_{[m-2]}}\right) \circ \left(\Phi^{r_{\pi(m-2)} r_{\pi(m-1)} v}_{\pi|_{[m-2]}} \circ \Phi^v_{\pi|_{[m-2]}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

If we denote $J := \Phi^{r_{\pi(m-2)} r_{\pi(m)} v}_{\pi|_{[m-2]}}$, then

$$\Phi^v_\pi = J\left(\left(\Phi^{r_{\pi(m-1)} r_{\pi(m)} v}_{\pi|_{[m-2]}} \circ \Phi^{r_{\pi(m-2)} r_{\pi(m-1)} v}_{\pi|_{[m-2]}}\right) \circ \left(\Phi^v_{\pi|_{[m-2]}} \circ \Phi^{r_{\pi(m-2)} r_{\pi(m)} v}_{\pi|_{[m-2]}}\right)\right) J^{-1}$$

Let $\pi' : [m] \to [k]$ be the injective map defined by

$$\pi'(j) = \begin{cases} \pi(j) & j \leq m-2 \\ \pi(m) & j = m-1 \\ \pi(m-1) & j = m \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\pi}^{v} &= J\left(\Phi_{\pi'|_{[m-1]}}^{r_{\pi(m-2)}r_{\pi(m-1)}v}\Phi_{\pi'|_{[m-1]}}^{r_{\pi(m-2)}r_{\pi(m)}v}\right)J^{-1}\\ &= J\left(\Phi_{\pi'}^{r_{\pi(m-2)}r_{\pi(m)}v}\right)J^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

Since now $i=\pi(m)\in\pi'([m-1])$, we can deduce from the previous case that $\Phi_{\pi'}^{r_{\pi(m-2)}r_{\pi(m)}v}|_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_i)}=\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_i)}$ and since Φ_{π}^{v} and $\Phi_{\pi'}^{r_{\pi(m-2)}r_{\pi(m)}v}$ are conjugates we get $\Phi_{\pi}^{v}|_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_i)}=\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{st}(\xi_i)}$.

Case 3. If $i=\pi(m)$ and $m=2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\pi}^{v} &= \Phi_{\pi|_{[m-1]}}^{r_{\pi(m-1)}r_{\pi(m)}v}\circ\Phi_{\pi|_{[m-1]}}^{v}\\ &= \left(R_{r_{\pi(m)}v}^{-1}\circ R_{r_{\pi(m-1)}r_{\pi(m)}v}\right)\circ\left(R_{r_{\pi(m-1)}v}^{-1}\circ R_{v}\right)\\ &= J\left(\left(R_{r_{\pi(m-1)}r_{\pi(m)}v}\circ R_{r_{\pi(m-1)}v}^{-1}\right)\circ\left(R_{v}\circ R_{r_{\pi(m)}v}^{-1}\right)\right)J^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

When $J=R_{r_{\pi(m)}v}^{-1}$, the proof proceeds similarly to the proof of Case 2 and the proof for $m=1$. □

□

4 Hyperplane automorphism extension property

Recall from the introduction the following.

Definition 4.1. Let X be a CAT(0) cube complex. A set of pairwise transverse hyperplanes $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_1,\ldots,\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_d$ satisfy the *hyperplane automorphism extension property* (HAEP) if for all $\hat{f}\in\mathrm{Aut}\left(\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_1\cup\ldots\cup\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ there exists an automorphism $f\in\mathrm{AutX}$ such that f stabilizes $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_1\cup\ldots\cup\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_d$ and $f|_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_1\cup\ldots\cup\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_d}=\hat{f}$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let L be superstar-transitive, and let X be the unique L-cube-complex. Every transverse set of hyperplanes $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_1,\ldots,\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_d$ in X satisfy the HAEP.*

Proof. We first cut X along $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_1,\ldots,\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_d$. Let Z be a component of the resulting complex. Let x_0 be a vertex of $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_1\cap\ldots\cap\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_d$ in Z. Let $\{x_n\}_{n\leq 0}$ be some enumeration of the vertices of $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_1\cup\ldots\cup\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_d$ in Z. Let $\{x_n\}_{n>0}$ be an enumeration of the remaining vertices of Z with non-decreasing distance from x_0. Similarly to Lemma 2.3 the enumeration $\{x_n\}_n$ is admissible.

The map $\hat{f}|_Z$ defines a 0-admissible map $(\hat{f}|_Z,\{\left(\hat{f}|_Z\right)_{x_i}\}_{i\leq 0})$. By Lemma 3.2, one can extend the 0-admissible map $(\hat{f}|_Z,\{\left(\hat{f}|_Z\right)_{x_i}\}_{i\leq 0})$ to an automorphism f_Z of Z.

Finally, let f be the automorphism of X whose restriction to each sector, Z, is f_Z. □

Recall from [12] that for a group G of automorphisms of a CAT(0) cube complex X we denote by G^+ the subgroup of G generated by all the elements that fix some halfspace of X, i.e $G^+ = \langle \mathrm{Fix_G}(\mathfrak{h}) \,|\, \mathfrak{h} \text{ a halfspace of } X \rangle$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}, \hat{\mathfrak{k}}$ be a transverse pair of hyperplanes which satisfy the HAEP, then each automorphism f of $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ which fixes the halfspace $\mathfrak{k} \cap \hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ can be extended to an automorphism F of X which fixes $\mathfrak{k}$.*

In particular, if the hyperplane $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ satisfies the HAEP for any transverse hyperplane $\hat{\mathfrak{k}}$ then any element of $\mathrm{Aut}^+\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ can be extended to an element of $\mathrm{Aut}^+\mathrm{X}$.

Proof. Let $\hat{f} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\hat{\mathfrak{h}} \cup \hat{\mathfrak{k}})$ be the automorphism defined by $\hat{f}|_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}} = f$, $\hat{f}|_{\hat{\mathfrak{k}}} = \mathrm{id}_{\hat{\mathfrak{k}}}$. By the HAEP, we can extend $\hat{f}$ to an automorphism, f', of X. Finally, define f to be the automorphism defined by $f|_{\mathfrak{k}^*} = f'|_{\mathfrak{k}^*}$, $f|_{\mathfrak{k}} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{k}}$. □

5 Virtual simplicity of automorphism groups

Lemma 5.1. *Let G act transitively on a set S, and let H be a subgroup of G. If S/H is finite and for some $x \in S$, $H_x = \mathrm{Stab_H(x)}$ has finite index in $G_x = \mathrm{Stab_G(x)}$, then H has finite index in G.*

Proof. It follows from the following inequality $|G/H| \leq |S/H| \cdot |G_x/H_x| < \infty$. □

Proposition 5.2. *Let X be a proper finite-dimensional CAT(0) cube complex. Let $G = \mathrm{AutX}$. Assume the following properties hold:*

1. *There exists a hyperplane orbit $G\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ such that G^+ has finitely many orbits in $G\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$.*
2. *The group $\mathrm{Aut}^+\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ has finite index in $\mathrm{Aut}\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$.*
3. *The hyperplane $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ satisfies the HAEP.*
4. *For every hyperplane $\hat{\mathfrak{k}}$ transverse to $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ the pair $\hat{\mathfrak{h}} \cup \hat{\mathfrak{k}}$ satisfies the HAEP.*

Then, G^+ has finite index in G.

Proof. Let $S = G\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ and let $H = G^+$. The proposition will follow from the previous lemma once we show that $G^+_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}} = \mathrm{Stab_{G^+}}(\hat{\mathfrak{h}})$ has finite index in $G_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}} = \mathrm{Stab_G}(\hat{\mathfrak{h}})$.

By condition 3, we have that the restriction $G_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}|_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}$ is exactly $\mathrm{Aut}\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$. Similarly, by condition 4 and Lemma 4.3 we deduce that $G^+_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}|_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}$ is excatly $\mathrm{Aut}^+\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$. Thus, by condition 2, we get $[G_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}} : G^+_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}] = [\mathrm{Aut}\hat{\mathfrak{h}} : \mathrm{Aut}^+\hat{\mathfrak{h}}] < \infty$. □

5.1 Examples of virtually simple automorphism groups

5.1.1 The Kneser complex and the associated Davis complex

We define the Kneser complex, $K(n, S)$, to be the simplicial complex whose vertices are all subsets of size n of S, and a collection of vertices span a simplex if they represent pairwise disjoint subsets.

Let $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $S = \{1, \ldots, nd+1\}$. The complex $L := K_n^d = K(n, S)$ is a $(d-1)$-dimensional flag simplicial complex. Since $|S| \neq 2n$, the Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem tells us that any automorphism of L is induced from a permutation of S (see Corollary 7.8.2 in [8]).

Proposition 5.3. *The complex L is superstar-transitive.*

Proof. Let k be a non-negative integer, let σ, σ' be two k-simplices of L and let $\phi : \mathrm{st}(\sigma) \to \mathrm{st}(\sigma')$ be an isomorphism. Since L is clearly Δ^k-transitive (i.e. any isomorphism from one simplex to another can be extended to an automorphism of L) we may assume without loss of generality that $\sigma = \sigma' = [v_0, \ldots, v_k]$ and ϕ fixes σ.

The map ϕ induces automorphisms on the links $\phi_i : \mathrm{Lk}(\mathrm{v_i}, \mathrm{L}) \to \mathrm{Lk}(\mathrm{v_i}, \mathrm{L})$ for $i = 0, \ldots, k$. For all $1 \leq i \leq k$, the link $\mathrm{Lk}(\mathrm{v_i}, \mathrm{L})$ is naturally identified with $K(n, S \setminus v_i)$ and thus ϕ_i is given by a permutation $\pi_i \in \mathrm{Sym}\,(S \setminus v_i)$.

If $k = 0$, let π be the permutation which fixes the set v_i and restricts to π_i on $S \setminus v_i$.

If $k > 0$, the maps ϕ_i and ϕ_j coincide along $\mathrm{Lk}\,(\mathrm{e_{i,j}}, \mathrm{L})$ where $e_{i,j}$ is the edge connecting v_i and v_j. As before $\mathrm{Lk}\,(\mathrm{e_{i,j}}, \mathrm{L})$ is naturally identified with $K\,(n, S \setminus (v_i \cup v_j))$ and thus $\pi_i|_{S\setminus(v_i \cup v_j)} = \pi_j|_{S\setminus(v_i \cup v_j)}$. Hence, the maps π_i define a unique permutation $\pi \in \mathrm{Sym}(S)$ whose restriction to $S \setminus v_i$ is π_i for all $0 \leq i \leq k$.

In both cases the permutation π defines an automorphism Φ of L whose restriction to $\mathrm{st}(\sigma)$ is ϕ. □

Lemma 5.4. *If $n \geq 2$ then the group* AutL *is generated by all elements which fix the star of a vertex in L. In particular,* $\mathrm{Aut}^+\mathrm{D(L)}$ *acts transitively on hyperplanes.*

Proof. Since AutL = Sym(S) and since $\mathrm{Sym}(S)$ is generated by transpositions, it suffices to show that all transpositions fix a star of a vertex. But this is true since the transposition exchanging $a, b \in S$ fixes the star of a vertex which contains a and b.

To prove that there is only one orbit of hyperplanes, note that for every $x \in D(L)$ and for every $f \in$ AutL there is an automorphism $F_{x,f}$ of $D(L)$ that fixes x and at each vertex the induced automorphism on the links is f. Moreover, if f fixes a star of a vertex then $F_{x,f}$ fixes the corresponding hyperplane near x. By the above, for all $x \in X$ and $f \in$ AutL, $F_{x,f}$ is in $\mathrm{Aut}^+\mathrm{D(L)}$. Since AutL acts transitively on the vertices of L we get that $F_{x,f}$ acts transitively on the hyperplanes near x. This holds for all $x \in D(L)$, and any two adjacent vertices are contained in the carrier of a hyperplane, and therefore $\mathrm{Aut}^+\mathrm{D(L)}$ acts transitively on hyperplanes. □

Corollary 5.5. *Let $n \geq 2, d \geq 1$ and let $L = K(n, \{1, \ldots, nd+1\})$. The group $G = \mathrm{AutD(L)}$ is virtually simple.*

Proof. We begin by showing that G^+ is simple by verifying the assumptions of Corollary A.3 and Claim A.6.

For all finite flag simplicial L the right-angled Davis complexes $D(L)$ is proper, finite dimensional and cocompact (since the Coxeter group W_L acts cocompactly on $D(L)$). The complex $D(L)$ is essential since L is not the star of any of its vertices. Similarly every sector $\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}$ in $D(L)$ contains a hyperplane because L is not the star of any of its edges. The complex $D(L)$ is irreducible since L is not a join of two subcomplexes, because the complement graph (the graph of non-empty intersections of subsets of size $n \geq 2$ in $\{1, \ldots, nd+1\}$) is connected. The group G is non-elementary because $\partial D(L) = \Lambda G$ contains more than 2 points (because L contains an independant set of vertices of size 3) and G acts without a fixed point at infinity (the Coxeter group acts with inversions along hyperplanes, thus does not fix a point in $\partial D(L)$).

In order to prove the corollary it suffices to show that G^+ is of finite index in G. We prove it by induction on $d \geq 0$. The base case $d = 0$, is trivial since the complex $D(L)$ is a single vertex, thus G is trivial.

The conditions of Proposition 5.2 hold, Condition 1 by Lemma 5.4, Condition 2 by the induction hypothesis (the hyperplane are isomorphic to the Davis complex associated to $K\left(n, \{1, \ldots, n\left(d-1\right)+1\}\right)$), and Conditions 3 and 4 by Propositions 4.2 and 5.3. □

5.1.2 Superstar-transitive graphs and unique square complexes

Lemma 5.6. *Let L be a finite, connected, flag, simplicial, superstar-transitive graph. If all the vertices in L have degree ≥ 3, then the subgroup, $\mathrm{Aut}^+(\mathrm{L})$, of $\mathrm{Aut(L)}$ generated by the automorphisms which fix the star of a vertex has at most two vertex orbits. Moreover, these orbits form a partition of the graph.*

In particular $\mathrm{Aut}^+\mathrm{D(L)}$ has at most two orbits of hyperplanes.

Proof. If v_1, v_2, w are adjacent to v then, by $\mathrm{st}(\Delta^1)$-transitivity, there exists an automorphism exchanging v_1, v_2 and fixing the star of w. Thus all the adjacent vertices of v are in the same orbit of $\mathrm{Aut}^+(\mathrm{L})$. This holds for all v, and by connectivity we get the desired conclusion.

As in the proof of Lemma 5.4, for all $x \in D(L)$, $\mathrm{Aut}^+\mathrm{D(L)}$ has at most two orbits of hyperplanes whose carrier contains x. Using the fact that any two adjacent vertices are in the carrier of two transverse hyperplanes we deduce that $\mathrm{Aut}^+\mathrm{D(L)}$ has at most two orbits of hyperplanes in $D(L)$. □

This Lemma allows us to deduce, as in the proof of Corollary 5.5 the following.

Theorem 5.7. *Let L be a finite, connected, flag, simplicial, superstar-transitive graph all of whose vertices have degree ≥ 3 and which is not a complete bi-partite graph. Let $X = D(L)$ be the unique CAT(0) square complex whose vertex links are isomorphic to L. Then $\mathrm{Aut(X)}$ is virtually simple.*

A Appendix: Simplicity of automorphism groups of rank one cube complexes

Let T be a tree, and let $Aut(T)$ be the automorphism group of T. In [17], J. Tits showed that under certain conditions the subgroup $Aut^+(T)$ of $Aut(T)$ generated by the fixators of edges of T is simple. In [12], F. Paulin and F. Haglund generalized Tits' result for Gromov hyperbolic cube complexes. In this appendix, we further generalize these results to rank one CAT(0) cube complexes. A similar result for (not necessarily locally finite) thick right-angled buildings was established by P-E. Caprace in [4].

Let X be a CAT(0) metric space. A rank one isometry is a hyperbolic isometry $g \in \mathrm{Isom(X)}$ none of whose axes bounds a flat half-plane (i.e. a subspace which is isometric to the Euclidean half plane). Any hyperbolic element in a Gromov hyperbolic cube complex is such since there are no flat half-spaces in a hyperbolic cube complex. In general, rank one elements in locally compact CAT(0) cube complexes act on the boundary ∂X with a north-south dynamics similarly to the action of hyperbolic elements in hyperbolic spaces. For $G \subset \mathrm{Isom(X)}$ we denote by $\Lambda(G)$ the *limit set* of G in ∂X, i.e., the set of accumulation points in ∂X of an orbit of G. The group G is called elementary if either $|\Lambda(G)| \leq 2$ or G fixes a point at infinity. Let X be a proper CAT(0) space, and let $G \leq \mathrm{Isom(X)}$ be a non-elementary subgroup which contains a rank one element, then the set of pairs of fixed points in ∂X of rank one elements is dense in the complement of the diagonal Δ of $\Lambda(G) \times \Lambda(G)$ (see [13]).

Let X be a CAT(0) cube complex. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the set of all half-spaces of X, and let $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ be the set of corresponding hyperplanes. We denote by $\hat{} : \mathcal{H} \to \hat{\mathcal{H}}$ the natural map mapping each half-space to its bounding hyperplane, and by $^* : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ the map sending a half-space to its complementary half-space. Recall the following definitions from [5]. We say that X is *irreducible* if it cannot be expressed as a (non-trivial) product. We say that X is *essential* if every half-space $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathcal{H}$ contains points arbitrarily far from $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$. Let $G \leq \mathrm{Aut(X)}$ a group of automorphisms of X. We say that X is *G-essential* if every half-space of X contains G-orbit points arbitrarily far from its bounding hyperplane. In [5], Caprace and Sageev proved the following rank rigidity result.

Theorem (Rank Rigidity for CAT(0) cube complexes, [5])**.** *If X is a finite-dimensional irreducible CAT(0) cube complex, and $G \leq \mathrm{Aut(X)}$ acts essentially on X without fixed points at infinity, then G contains a rank one isometry.*

Definition A.1. Let X and G be as above. We denote by G^+ the subgroup of G generated by the fixators of half-spaces of X, i.e.,

$$G^+ = \langle g \in Fix_G(\mathfrak{h}) \,|\, \mathfrak{h} \in \mathcal{H}(X) \rangle$$

We recall from [17, 12] that the action of G on X satisfies *property (P)* if for every nested sequence of half-spaces $(\mathfrak{h}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathcal{H}$, $\mathfrak{h}_{n+1} \subset \mathfrak{h}_n$, the following map is an isomorphism:

$$\mathrm{Fix_G}\left(\bigcup_{\mathrm{n}}\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\to\prod_{\mathrm{n}}\mathrm{Fix_G}\left(\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathrm{n}}\cup\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{n}}\cap\mathfrak{h}^*_{\mathrm{n}+1}} \tag{1}$$

Where $\mathrm{Fix_G}\left(\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathrm{n}}\cup\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{n}}\cup\mathfrak{h}^*_{\mathrm{n}+1}}$ is the image of $\mathrm{Fix_G}\left(\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathrm{n}}\cup\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)$ in $\mathrm{Aut}\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{n}}\cap\mathfrak{h}^*_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)$ under the restriction map.

Remark. Note that $G=\mathrm{Aut(X)}$ satisfies property (P), and in this case G^+ is generated by the fixators of the carriers of hyperplanes.

We prove the following.

Theorem A.2. *Let X be a proper finite-dimensional irreducible CAT(0) cube complex, and let $G\leq\mathrm{Aut(X)}$ be a non-elementary group acting essentially on X with property (P) and $\Lambda(G)=\partial X$. Then for all $N\lhd G^+$ either $N=G^+$ or N acts trivially on ∂X. In particular, if we further assume that G acts faithfully on ∂X, then G^+ is either simple or trivial.*

By the remark above, we deduce:

Corollary A.3. *Let X be a proper finite-dimensional irreducible essential CAT(0) cube complex with co-compact, non-elementary* $\mathrm{Aut(X)}$ *action. Then for all $N\lhd\mathrm{Aut}^+\mathrm{(X)}$ either $N=\mathrm{Aut}^+\mathrm{(X)}$ or N acts trivially on ∂X. In particular, if we further assume that* $\mathrm{Aut(X)}$ *acts faithfully on ∂X, then* $\mathrm{Aut}^+\mathrm{(X)}$ *is either simple or trivial.*

Definition A.4. For $\mathfrak{h}\in\mathcal{H}(X)$ let

$$\mathfrak{h}_\infty=\{\xi\in\partial X\,|\,r\cap\mathfrak{h}\neq\emptyset,\forall\text{geodesic rays } r \text{ such that } r(\infty)=\xi\}$$

It can also be defined as the collection of points in ∂X that are not accumulation of $\mathfrak{h}^*$. And for $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}\in\mathcal{H}(X)$ let $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_\infty$ be the set of accumulation points of $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ in ∂X.

Recall from [2] that two hyperplanes $\hat{\mathfrak{h}},\hat{\mathfrak{k}}$ are *strongly separated* if there is no hyperplane which intersects both of them.

Proposition A.5 (Proposition 5.1 in [5])**.** *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem A.2, for all $\mathfrak{h}\in\mathcal{H}$ there exists a half-space $\mathfrak{k}\subset\mathfrak{h}$ such that $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{k}}$ are strongly separated. In particular, the open set $\mathfrak{h}_\infty$ is non-empty.*

We remark that under mild assumptions the action of $\mathrm{Aut(X)}$ on ∂X is faithful, as the following claim shows.

Claim A.6. Under the same assumptions as in Theorem A.2, assume moreover that the intersection of any pair of crossing halfspaces $\mathfrak{h}_1,\mathfrak{h}_2$ contains a halspace $\mathfrak{k}\subset\mathfrak{h}_1\cap\mathfrak{h}_2$. Then $\mathrm{Aut(X)}$ acts faithfully on ∂X.

Proof. Let $1\neq g\in Aut(X)$, and let $\mathfrak{h}\in\mathcal{H}(X)$ be a half-space such that $g\mathfrak{h}\neq\mathfrak{h}$. Then g and $\mathfrak{h}$ satisfy one of the following cases:

Case 1. $g\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$. Then g sends the corresponding $\mathfrak{h}_\infty$ into $\mathfrak{h}^*_\infty$ which are non-empty and disjoint.

Case 2. $g\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{h}$. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{l}} \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}(X)$ be a hyperplane transversal to $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$, (we may assume without loss of generality that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}\ g^n\mathfrak{h} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{l}$, by determining the orientation of $\mathfrak{l}$ so that $g^m\mathfrak{h} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{l}$ for the minimal m such that $g^n\hat{\mathfrak{h}} \cap \hat{\mathfrak{l}} = \emptyset$). By our assumption, let $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{h}$. Then either $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $g^n\hat{\mathfrak{h}} \subset \mathfrak{k}^*$ or $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$, $g^n\hat{\mathfrak{h}} \cap \hat{\mathfrak{k}} \neq \emptyset$. If $\forall n$, $g^n\hat{\mathfrak{h}} \subset \mathfrak{k}^*$ then there exists r such that $\mathfrak{k} \subset g^{r-1}\mathfrak{h} \cap g^r\mathfrak{h}^*$, hence g takes $\mathfrak{k}_\infty$ into $g\mathfrak{k}_\infty$ (which are disjoint since $\mathfrak{k}_\infty \subset g^r\mathfrak{h}^*_\infty$ and $g\mathfrak{k}_\infty \subset g^r\mathfrak{h}_\infty$). If $\exists n$, $g^n\hat{\mathfrak{h}} \cap \hat{\mathfrak{k}} \neq \emptyset$ then we may assume that n is minimal. By our assumption there exists $\mathfrak{k}_1 \subset \mathfrak{k} \cap g^n\mathfrak{h}^*$. Therefore $\mathfrak{k}_1 \subset g^{n-1}\mathfrak{h} \cap g^n\mathfrak{h}^*$, and g acts non-trivially on ∂X as before.

Case 3. $g\hat{\mathfrak{h}} \cap \hat{\mathfrak{h}} \neq \emptyset$. By our assumption let $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{h}^* \cap g\mathfrak{h}$, then g sends $\mathfrak{k}_\infty$ into $g\mathfrak{h}^*_\infty$.

□

For completeness we prove the following:

Lemma A.7 (Lemme 6.4 in [12])**.** *Let $g \in Aut(X)$, and $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathcal{H}(X)$ such that $g\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{h}$, and let $F = \mathrm{Fix}_G(\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} g^n\hat{\mathfrak{h}})$, then $F = [g, F]$.*

Proof. Clearly $F \supset [g, F]$. Now, let $f \in F$. we will show that there exist $f' \in F$ such that $f = f'^{-1}g^{-1}f'g$.

By the property (P) assumption we can define f' by its restrictions to the sets $g^n\mathfrak{h} \cap g^{n+1}\mathfrak{h}^*$. We do so by induction on n:

For $n = 0$, define

$$f'|_{\mathfrak{h}\cap g\mathfrak{h}^*} = id_{\mathfrak{h}\cap g\mathfrak{h}^*}$$

For $n > 0$, define

$$f'|_{g^n\mathfrak{h}\cap g^{n+1}\mathfrak{h}^*} = gf'fg^{-1}$$

Similarly define f' for $n < 0$.

Notice that this is well-defined: if $x \in g^n\mathfrak{h} \cap g^{n+1}\mathfrak{h}^*$, then $fg^{-1}(x) \in g^{n-1}\mathfrak{h} \cap g^n\mathfrak{h}^*$, and therefore $f'(f(g(x))$ is defined by the induction hypothesis. Now observe that f' has the desired property. □

Proposition A.8. *Let X be a proper CAT(0) space, and let $H \leq$ Isom(X) be non-elementary. Assume H contains a rank one isometry and acts non-elementary on X with $\Lambda(H) = \partial X$, and let $N \lhd H$, then either N acts trivially on ∂X, or $\Lambda(N) = \Lambda(H) = \partial X$ and N is non-elementary.*

Proof. Assume that N does not act trivially on ∂X. First we show that the limit set $\Lambda(N)$ is either $\Lambda(H)$ or empty.

Let $\xi \in \Lambda(N)$ and $h \in H$. There exists a sequence $n_k \in N$ such that for all $x \in X$, $n_k x \to \xi$. Apply $h \in H$ to ξ. By normality of N, we get a sequence $n'_k = hn_kh^{-1} \in N$.

$$h\xi \leftarrow hn_k.x = n'_k.\,(hx)$$



Figure A.1: The action of g on X and the halfspaces $\mathfrak{h}$, $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{l}$

Therefore $h\xi \in \Lambda(N)$; hence $\Lambda(N)$ is H-invariant. By minimality of the action of H on $\Lambda(H)$ (see [13]) we get $\Lambda(N) = \Lambda(H)$ or $\emptyset$.

To show that $\Lambda(N) = \Lambda(H)$ assume for contradiction that $\Lambda(N) = \emptyset$. Then the action of N on X is bounded; hence has a fixed point x_0. Since N acts non-trivially on ∂X, there exists $\xi \in \partial X$ and $n \in N$ such that $n.\xi \neq \xi$. By $\partial X = \Lambda(H)$, there exists a sequence $h_k \in H$ such that $h_k.x_0 \to \xi$. By applying n we get $nh_k.x_0 \to n.\xi$. On the other hand, by normality, we have $nh_k = h_k n'_k$ (for some $n'_k \in N$). Thus, $nh_k.x_0 = h_k n'_k.x_0 = h_k.x_0 \to \xi$. Therefore, we get $\xi = n.\xi$ which contradicts our assumption.

To see that N is non-elementary, we are left to show that N does not fix a point at infinity. Assume by contradiction that $\xi \in \partial X$ is N-fixed, then by normality $g\xi$ is N-fixed for all $g \in H$. By minimality we get that $\Lambda(H) = \partial X$ is N-fixed. Hence N acts trivially on ∂X. But we assumed that N acts non-trivially on ∂X. □

Remark. Without assuming $\partial X = \Lambda(H)$, the same argument shows that for every normal subgroup $N \lhd H$ either N acts trivially on $\Lambda(H)$ or $\Lambda(N) = \Lambda(H)$.

We shall now prove Theorem A.2:

Proof. Let $N \lhd G^+$ and assume N acts non-trivially on ∂X. In order to prove the theorem, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{Fix}_{\mathrm{G}}(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathrm{N}$ for all $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathcal{H}(X)$. Let $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathcal{H}(X)$. Apply Proposition A.8 and the rank rigidity theorem first on $G^+ \lhd G$ and then on $N \lhd G^+$, to obtain that $\Lambda(N) = \partial X$, N is non-elementary and contains a rank one isometry. By Claim A.5 there exists a half-space $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{k}}$ are strongly separated. The set $\partial^2 X \cap (\mathfrak{k}_\infty \times \mathfrak{k}_\infty)$ is a non-empty open set in $\partial^2 X$, hence, by Theorem 1.1(2) of [13], there exists a rank one isometry g whose two fixed points (ξ_+, ξ_-) in ∂X are in $\partial^2 X \cap (\mathfrak{k}_\infty \times \mathfrak{k}_\infty)$.

By passing to a power of g we may further assume that there exists $\mathfrak{l} \in \mathcal{H}(X)$ such that $g\mathfrak{l} \subset \mathfrak{l}$. See Figure A.1.

Let $F = \mathrm{Fix}_G(\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} g^n \hat{\mathfrak{l}})$. By the above we see that $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} g^n \hat{\mathfrak{l}} \subset \mathfrak{h}$. Therefore, by Lemma A.7 we have:

$$\mathrm{Fix_G}(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathrm{F} = [\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{F}] \subset \mathrm{N}$$

□

Remark. In fact, one can assume a weaker version of property (P). For example, assuming that for every element $g \in G$ and $\mathfrak{l} \in \mathcal{H}(X)$ such that $g\mathfrak{l} \subset \mathfrak{l}$, the map 1 is an isomorphism for the collection $\left\{g^n \hat{\mathfrak{l}}\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$.

References

[1] W. Ballmann and M. Brin. Polygonal complexes and combinatorial group theory. *Geom. Dedicata*, 50(2):165–191, 1994.

[2] Jason Behrstock and Ruth Charney. Divergence and quasimorphisms of right-angled Artin groups. *Math. Ann.*, 352(2):339–356, 2012.

[3] Marc Bourdon. Immeubles hyperboliques, dimension conforme et rigidité de mostow. *Geometric & Functional Analysis GAFA*, 7(2):245–268, 1997.

[4] Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace. Automorphism groups of right-angled buildings: simplicity and local splittings. *Fundamenta mathematicae*, 224(1):17–51, 2014.

[5] Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace and Michah Sageev. Rank rigidity for CAT(0) cube complexes. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 21(4):851–891, 2011.

[6] Benson Farb, G Christopher Hruska, and Anne Thomas. Problems on automorphism groups of nonpositively curved polyhedral complexes and their lattices. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0803.2484*, 2008.

[7] Michael Giudici, Cai Heng Li, Ákos Seress, and Anne Thomas. Characterising vertex-star transitive and edge-star transitive graphs. 2013.

[8] Chris Godsil and Gordon Royle. *Algebraic graph theory*, volume 207 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.

[9] Mikhael Gromov. *Hyperbolic groups*. Springer, 1987.

[10] Frédéric Haglund. Les polyèdres de Gromov. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 313(9):603–606, 1991.

[11] Frédéric Haglund. Existence, unicité et homogénéité de certains immeubles hyperboliques. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 242(1):97–148, 2002.

[12] Frédéric Haglund and Frédéric Paulin. Simplicité de groupes d'automorphismes d'espacesa courbure négative the epstein birthday schrift, 181–248. *Geom. Topol. Monogr*, 1, 1998.

[13] Ursula Hamenstädt. Rank-one isometries of proper CAT(0)-spaces. In *Discrete groups and geometric structures*, volume 501 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 43–59. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.

[14] Nir Lazarovich. Uniqueness of homogeneous CAT(0) polygonal complexes. *Geometriae dedicata*, 168(1):397–414, 2014.

[15] Michah Sageev. Ends of group pairs and non-positively curved cube complexes. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 3(3):585–617, 1995.

[16] Jacek Świątkowski. Trivalent polygonal complexes of nonpositive curvature and platonic symmetry. *Geom. Dedicata*, 70:87–110, 1998.

[17] Jacques Tits. Sur le groupe des automorphismes d'un arbre. In *Essays on topology and related topics*, pages 188–211. Springer, 1970.

[18] Daniel T Wise. *Non-positively curved squared complexes aperiodic tilings and non-residually finite groups*. PhD thesis.

Nir Lazarovich. Email: nirl@tx.technion.ac.il
Department of Mathematics, Technion, Haifa 32000, Israel